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**Civic Education under Pressure? A Case Study from an Austrian School**

**When right-wing politician Roman Haider caused the interruption of a lecture about political extremism in an Austrian school in spring 2017, a heated debate erupted over the place of politics in school education. While Haider accused the lecturer of political propaganda, teachers, students and political opponents were upset about this seeming act of censorship. The controversy raises important questions over the aims and principles of political education, which will continue to engage teachers and educationalists.**

**I. A Lecture Causing a Stir**

The 8th of March 2017 should become a day to remember for Wolfgang Oberndorfer, headmaster of the upper secondary school BORG Honauerstraße in the Austrian city of Linz. At the invitation of one of the school's philosophy teachers, Thomas Rammerstorfer visited the school to give a lecture about "extremist challenges in Austria" in front of an audience of around seventy pupils between the age of seventeen and nineteen years. Rammerstorfer is a journalist, author, and social worker as well as a member of the Austrian Green Party. During his lecture, he spoke about various examples of political and religious extremism, covering topics such as left-wing extremism, right-wing extremism, IS terrorism, Salafism, and so-called *Staatsverweiger* (i.e. people who refuse to accept the legitimacy of modern nation states).[[1]](#footnote-1)

While talking about right-wing extremism, Rammerstorfer also discussed the role of German-nationalist *Burschenschaften* (i.e. a type of student fraternities found in Germany and Austria) and briefly mentioned their influence on the Freedom Party of Austria (FPÖ). When Rammerstorfer wanted to open the floor for discussion, however, he was suddenly interrupted by a teacher and asked to stop his lecture. As it emerged later, one of the pupils in the audience, eighteen-year-old Rüdiger Haider, had informed his father about the content of the lecture via WhatsApp, who immediately contacted headmaster Oberndorfer and demanded that the lecture be cut short. Roman Haider—Rüdiger Haider’s father—is not only parent representative of the school, but also a member of the National Council for the FPÖ.[[2]](#footnote-2) Both Roman and Rüdiger Haider are also members of a *Burschenschaft*.[[3]](#footnote-3) In an interview with the newspaper *Oberösterreichische Nachrichten*, Haider called the lecture an "incredible impertinence with a political agenda" and harshly rejected criticism of his party:

It’s intolerable to associate a party represented in parliament with extremism. Extremism means to reject democracy. I will not accept this allegation. Views like these do not belong in schools.[[4]](#footnote-4)

Haider accused Rammerstorfer of political rabble-rousing, dubbing him a "green wolf in sheep's clothing." Herwig Mahr, leader of the FPÖ parliamentary group in the Upper Austrian *Landtag*, said that Rammerstorfer should not be allowed to lecture in schools in the future.[[5]](#footnote-5)

Thomas Rammerstorfer himself was quick to defend his lecture, pointing out that he had mentioned the FPÖ only briefly and that his remarks were based on historical facts. He also clarified that he had never intended to equate the Austrian Freedom Party with terrorism. On his Facebook page, Rammerstorfer commented on the issue:

Ironically, one of the topics that I dealt with in my lecture was Putin's and Erdogan's crackdown on critical media. In that regard, the break-off of my lecture perfectly illustrated my point.[[6]](#footnote-6)

While the teacher who had originally invited Rammerstorfer to speak at the school did not issue an official statement after the incident, headmaster Wolfgang Oberndorfer rallied to the support of his colleague. In an interview with *Oberösterreichische Nachrichten*, Oberndorfer claimed to have stopped the lecture in order to protect the teacher and related the phone conversation he had with Roman Haider:

Haider threatened that the teacher would have to expect massive repercussions on his career. He was talking about extreme left-wing sedition and said that he would do anything to bring the teacher down.[[7]](#footnote-7)

Upon further request, Haider denied these threats.[[8]](#footnote-8) Fritz Enzenhofer, president of the education authority of Upper Austria, was apparently unwilling to take a definite stand on the incident. In the *Oberösterreichische Nachrichten*, he said:

It’s not acceptable to associate a democratically legitimised party with extremism. It’s imperative that civic education is unbiased.[[9]](#footnote-9)

However, in the same newspaper article, Enzenhofer denied that he had mandated the break-off of Rammerstorfer's lecture and claimed that he had refused when asked to do so by several FPÖ politicians in phone conversations.[[10]](#footnote-10)

Members of other Austrian parliamentary parties were largely critical of Roman Haider's intervention. Bettina Stadlbauer, leader of the Upper Austrian branch of the Social Democratic Party of Austria (SPÖ), said that the break-off of Rammerstorfer's lecture was "totally unacceptable" and demanded that he be invited to the school again in order to continue the lecture.[[11]](#footnote-11) Gottfried Hirz, leader of the Green Party in Upper Austria, criticised the actions of the teacher and headmaster, arguing that the school inspectorate should have thoroughly examined the situation before the lecture was cut short.[[12]](#footnote-12) Contrary to Stadlbauer and Hirz, Thomas Stelzer of the Upper Austrian branch of the Austrian People's Party (ÖVP) was more cautious in his statement, emphasising that party politics should not interfere with school education and that schools should carefully choose which speakers they invite when it comes to political topics.[[13]](#footnote-13)

Student representatives were particularly upset about the incident. Susann Scheftner of the *Aktion Kritischer Schüler\_innen Linz* ("Campaign of Critical Students Linz"), who was present at Rammerstorfer's lecture, was outraged about the fact that "a politician attempts to impinge on a school's curriculum and succeeds in doing so." The Communist Youth of Upper Austria said that the FPÖ intervention "smacked of censorship," and the youth wing of the Austrian Green Party accused the FPÖ of antidemocratic behaviour.[[14]](#footnote-14) According to Thomas Rammerstorfer, several students who had been among the audience during the lecture contacted him after the incident, expressing regret over headmaster Oberndorfer's decision and thanking Rammerstorfer for his interesting talk.[[15]](#footnote-15)

In the weeks and months following Thomas Rammerstorfer's visit to the school, the incident continued to be the subject of heated debate. On March 29, 2017—three weeks after the ill-fated lecture—leading politicians of the Upper Austrian branch of the FPÖ officially presented a newly-launched website designed for documenting "incidents of political manipulation" in schools. The website encouraged students to report any case of "political influencing" during lessons, without requiring them to give their names, e-mail addresses, or other data. Hardly surprising, the project sparked considerable backlash both by other political parties and by teacher representatives. Gottfried Hirz of the Green Party called the website a "smear campaign," while Paul Kimberger of the teachers' union for compulsory schools expressed disapproval over the fact "that politicians are now putting pressure on teachers."[[16]](#footnote-16)

On April 27, 2017, several members of parliament for the FPÖ—among them the current Interior Minister of Austria, Herbert Kickl—initiated an interpellation concerning "potential lectures by alleged experts on right-wing extremism in Austrian state schools" in the Austrian parliament.[[17]](#footnote-17) Shortly afterwards, on May 8, 2017, the education authority of Upper Austria issued a report on the incident at BORG Honauerstraße, which backed the teacher who had organised the lecture and testified to the impartiality of Rammerstorfer's talk.[[18]](#footnote-18) Not convinced, Roman Haider rejected the stance of the education authority, claiming that the report was "not worth the paper" and refusing to apologise for his intervention.[[19]](#footnote-19) In fact, instead of dropping the subject**,** Haider and his party comrades continued to exploit it for their own purpose: In November 2017, in a parliamentary symposium attended by high-ranking FPÖ politicians such as party leader Heinz-Christian Strache and former presidential candidate Norbert Hofer, Roman Haider's son Rüdiger was awarded the Franz Dinghofer Medal for his "services to democracy."[[20]](#footnote-20)

**II. Consequences for Civic Education**

How can we assess the event at BORG Honauerstraße and its repercussions from the perspective of political education? As it should have become clear in the preceding observations, the incident has garnered significant media attention and sparked considerable debate over the relationship between political education and politics. Much of this debate has been influenced by the old animosities between right-wing and left-wing groups on the political spectrum.

From a legal point of view, Roman Haider's objection to Thomas Rammerstorfer's lecture was not completely unfounded. In 2008, the Austrian Ministry of Education issued a circular on the "unlawfulness of party-political advertising in schools," based on the Austrian School Education Act of 1974. The circular decrees that

[…] party-political interests must not take hold in schools. Instead, schools need to inform students about politics—party politics included—in a factual, objective and pluralistic way. They must not give the impression that they import party politics in the form of people or pertinent advertising material. […] If teachers consider inviting experts from outside the school as part of their individual and independent lesson design, they need to ensure that these experts do not in any way serve as an advertising medium for a political party.[[21]](#footnote-21)

In the case of the BORG Honauerstraße, it is certainly difficult to ascertain whether Rammerstorfer made any remarks that could have been construed as an exertion of political influence—after all, the lecture was neither recorded nor videotaped, so that Rammerstorfer's testimony as well as the accounts of the students and teachers present at the talk remain the only "evidence" available. However, the fact that Thomas Rammerstorfer is an active member of the Austrian Green Party is certainly a more incriminating aspect here, and one that Haider very likely deliberately took advantage of. On the other hand, the mere political affiliation of an expert can hardly be given as an argument for interrupting an academic lecture. This would mean that experts who sympathize with the FPÖ would also no longer be able to express themselves on an academic platform.

From the perspective of political education, though, the case appears even less clear-cut. Since the 1970s, (German and Austrian) political educationalists have largely accepted the paradigms of the so-called "Beutelsbach Consensus" of 1976, which aimed to lay out the basic principles of political education. The Consensus consists of three main clauses: 1) "Prohibition against Overwhelming the Pupil"; 2) "Treating Controversial Subjects as Controversial"; and 3) "Giving Weight to the Personal Interests of Pupils."[[22]](#footnote-22) It thus stipulates that teachers are not allowed to impart desirable opinions on their pupils and therefore to hinder them from forming an independent judgement, and it further emphasises the importance of a balanced political education that takes into account multiple perspectives and different opinions. Moreover, it highlights the need to enable pupils to establish a link between political circumstances and their own opinions and interests and thus to prepare them for active participation in politics.

Both Austrian school law and the guidelines of the "Beutelsbach Consensus" therefore demand that political parties and their party platforms be accessed and handled in an objective and pluralistic manner. Despite recognition of these paradigms, it remains the responsibility of teachers to explicitly take a stand against party content that either unequivocally threatens democracy or appears inhumane, and to raise awareness for the good of democracy. The teaching of democratic values cannot be compared to indoctrination. It entails the opposite in that it deals with open-mindedness and diversity of opinions as central aspects of societal cohabitation. It remains to be discussed whether this diversity of opinions applies to those political ideologies and beliefs that they ultimately wish to restrict pluralism.

Regardless of this, there obviously remains the question of whether political education in schools contributes to the steering of pupils towards maturity and democracy.

Wolfgang Sander writes that, all too easily, […] contexts are far too simplified in public perceptions regarding political education […]—even trivialized. This leads to false and/or excessive expectations concerning the potential achievements in this particular subject.[[23]](#footnote-23)

On the one hand, the central role that is equally played by extracurricular factors such as domestic environments and circles of friends in political socialization is often neglected. On the other hand, it must furthermore be taken into consideration that democratic education is not acquired through knowledge of institutions and that theoretical knowledge about democracy does not on its own indicate a democratically thinking individual. Instead, Wolfgang Sander believes that actual politics themselves must also be placed into context and focused upon when learning about democracy:

Democratic learning must […] not entail searching for democracy outside of the political, for democracy is a form of the political and therefore seen as a practical sub-sector of politics. There is no democracy outside of politics […].[[24]](#footnote-24)

In this context, the incident in the BORG in Linz itself serves as a perfect example in political education classes. The highly political discussion about democratic values and the handling of parties, their programs, and their political negotiations in a scholastic context offers pupils an idea of how democratic operations are carried out in everyday politics. The pupils should understand that democracy is not merely to be equated with the right to take part in decision-making. This is based on the fact that, rather than focusing on a cross-party aim, discussions about interests tend to instead prioritize single-party expectations. In fact, pupils learn that actual democracy can be characterized by conflictual disputes and must therefore be consistently analyzed and reflected upon.

The interruption of the lecture of Thomas Rammerstorfer also teaches us that even politicians, although they are the symbol of real-life politics, have to learn more about civic education. The discussion about the disagreeable occurrence should include more but the old fight along right- and left-wing paradigm. Instead of these non-constructive discussions, there should be an emphasis on a political culture which is characterized by respect and the will to cope with problems. This also includes the FPÖ confronting the problematic fact that its own members actually have links to far-right groups. Only recently revealed in relation to the Lower Austrian regional elections, the connections of the FPÖ to the fraternity "Germania" which caused a stir with a far-right song book,[[25]](#footnote-25) present the incident in Linz in a whole new light. Manfred Haimbuchner, head of the Upper Austrian FPÖ, spoke of there being "certain idiots within the FPÖ."[[26]](#footnote-26)

The conclusion of the incident in Linz is that politicians should not utilize their political authority in schools especially, that politicians should not threaten other people because of their authority, and that there should be a culture of discussion which is minted of democratic values and of the recognition of different opinions. In addition to this, the borders of political influence in schools need to once again be strongly enforced. Simultaneously, it has become clear how the rivalry between different political parties regarding their understanding of democracy looks and which conflicts affecting political cooperation arise from this situation. It is not political education which finds itself under pressure, but rather the type of politics that needs to establish and accept overall conditions which enable a modern-day political education.
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